## Case 3:08-cv-00353-RCJ-CBC Document 382 Filed 08/28/18 Page 1 of 3

|    | ľ |
|----|---|
| 1  |   |
| 2  | l |
| 3  | ١ |
| 4  | ľ |
| 5  | ١ |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | l |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |

28

ADAM PAUL LAXALT
Nevada Attorney General
IAN E. CARR
Deputy Attorney General #13840
State of Nevada
Bureau of Litigation
Public Safety Division
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701-4717
Tel: (775) 684-1259
E-mail: icarr@ag.nv.gov

Attorneys for Defendant Lea Baker

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JOHN WITHEROW,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LEA BAKER AND INGRID CONNALLY,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-00353-RCJ-VPC

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF No. 381)

Defendant Lea Baker, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Ian E. Carr, Deputy Attorney General, hereby submits a Motion for Extension of Time to File a Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 381). This Motion is based on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file in this action.

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I. ARGUMENT

Defendant respectfully requests a thirty (30) day extension of time out from the current deadline (August 28, 2018) to file a dispositive motion in this case. Counsel for Defendant is confronted with numerous competing deadlines and a high workload due to staffing changes in the Office of the Attorney General. However, such obstacles are currently being resolved and the requested extension of time should afford Defendant adequate time to draft and submit a dispositive motion addressing the remaining issues in this case.

## Case 3:08-cv-00353-RCJ-CBC Document 382 Filed 08/28/18 Page 2 of 3

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs extensions of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

Defendant's request is timely and its limited nature will not hinder or prejudice Plaintiff's case, but will allow for a thorough briefing of the remaining issues in this case. The requested thirty (30) day extension of time should permit Defendants time to submit a dispositive motion. Defendant asserts that the requisite good cause is present to justify the extension.

For these reasons, Defendant respectfully requests a thirty (30) day extension of time from the current deadline to file a dispositive motion in this case, with a new deadline to and including Thursday, September 27, 2018.

DATED this 28th day of August, 2018.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By:

Deputy Attorney General Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division

Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDG

DATED: